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## IDENTIFIERS

## ABSTRACT

The knowledge and training of 80 bilingual elementary school teachers in the areas of Spanish language, reading skills, and reading methodoloğy were studied. The teachers met the following criteria: they were bilingual (English and Spanish), they were licensed and currently teaching in New York City, and they had been exposed to the teaching of reading for at least 2 years in' public elementary schools. It was found that the teachers had a reasonable understanding of the Spanish language in terms of philosophy, history, phonology, lexicon, morphology, and syntax, pand they showed slightly less knowledge in Spanish reading skills. They appeared to have minimum competencies in Spanish'lanequage knowledge and Spanish reading skills and vere not well prepare ntop spanish reading methodology. Self-report information generally indicated that training in Spanish reading had been inadequate. Teachers were able to partially identify skills such as word recognition, comprehension, evaluafion andsanalysis; and the use of skills by grades and levels. Recommendations include the following: a-teacher competency assessment program with a training component should be conducted, and. a list of competencies for bilingual Spanish reading teachers should be developed and field tested. (SW)
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## Abstract

The main-purpose of this study was to investigate the knowledge and training of bilingual elementary school teachers in the areas of Spanish language, ,reading skills and methodology.. It was condućted In New York City with licensed and experienced bfingual teachers. A questionnaire was administered to 80 bilingual teachers responsible for instructing reading in Spanish. The study found that bilingual teáachers demonstrated an acceptable knowledge of Spanish language ạd Spanish reading skills; However, they had weak backgrounds in Spanish reading methodology: Their previous training varied widely, and they expressed the need for more training in the three areas explored, especially reading methodology.

## A Descriptlve Study of Spanish Reading Proficiency and Training Among

## Bilingual Elementary School Teachers

In 1977, the following resolution was adopted by the NNew York City Board of Education members:

It is the policy of the Board of Education of the
City of New York that every child be afforded equal edu-
cational opportunity regardless of économic statứs, race, ethnic background, religion, or native language. This opportunity will be provided by encouraging where feasible the development of bllingual education programs throughout the City for children whose native language is other than English and whose English speaking skills are limited. Such programs will make it possible for these pupils to participate meaningfully in an instructional program which enable them to learn in both cultures. The opportunity to study another language or tq participate in Bilingual Programs where Ifeasible should be provided for all pupils at some time In thelr schooling as a means of enriching their educational and life. experlence (New York city Board of Education, p: 1).
This resolution reaffirms the commitment of New York city educators to bilingual education since it focusses on the utilization df the students" natlve' language as a medlum of instruction for those who are unable to functlon In Engllish. It has been generally assumed that spanish speaking students shoul't learn to read first in the language he has acquired at home since he has mastered most of the Spanish sounds, and the general semantic and grammatical features of the Spanish language (Anderson, 1974; Cornejo, 1974; Goodman, 1979; Modlano, 1966; Saville Troike, 1970; ..Thonls; 1976). Moreover, through hl's prlmary language he has learned to organize his environment and to obtaln meaning from his personal experlence.

Teachlng reading in the student's native language such as Spanish will probably prevent retardation of his cognitive development because the
task of learning to read and frite Spanish might be satisfying and produc:ive. Hodiano, among others, voiced the neef for seading in the vernacular language when she found that "youngsters of linguistic minorities learn to read with greater comprehensior in the national language wen they first learn to read in their mothèr tongue than when they receive all reading instruction in the national language." (Modiano, 1966, pp. 52-53).

Qualified, well-prepared bilingual teachers then; are needed in prder. tc. have an adequate curriculum presentation in the student's native language. Selection and training of teachers for bilingual bicultural programs should include a comprehensive kno:lledge of", and proficiency in, the student's native language and the ability to teach content through it. The:e is a dearth of information, however, about teachers knowledge of the F.panish language and corresponding methodology ${ }_{\text {a }}$. Such information has become increasingly important because it is generally agreed that a bilingual éducation teacher preparation progran should "develop techniques which help" the students to extend their command of their first and second language 'in listening; speaking, reading and vriting" (Acosta and Blanco, 1978, p. 11). Ramirez, Macaulay, Gonzalez, Cox and Perez (Center for Applied Linguistics, Bilingual Education Series: $\quad v$; 1977, p. 65) noted trat: ...there is a need to Investigate the necessary requirements $\backslash$ for teachers in bilingual educition programs. At present, a number of schoois of education are inthoducing degrees in bilingual bicultural education. In many tases, this simply" consists of relabeling and regrouping courses already in the curriculum.

In the light of this situation, authorities in bilingual education cmphasize the need to provide information relevart to the preparation of Spanish/English bilingual teachers pertaining to: (1) their langyage under-
standing as it relates to the phonology, gramar and lexicon of Spanish;
(2) levels of reading zomprehension; and (3) appropriate teaching methodology. Fyrthermore, a need exists to determine whether these teachers have received formal training in these three areas.

The study reported in this paper originated from the many complaints bilingual elementary teachers have expressed to the author over the last five years best summarized in these words:" "We have not been trained in teaching reading in Spanish." Moreover, this empirical study gave the author the opportunity to address a new and challenging research proplem . of increasing importance to bilingual training programs and education in general.

The specific objectives of this descriptive study were: 1) to determine the extent to which bilingual elfmentary school teachers teaching reading in Spanish possess substantial knowledge or proficiency in, (a) the Spanish language, (b) Spanish 'reáding skills, and"(c) Spanish reading instructional methodology, and 2) to develop a profile of the training recelved by these ${ }^{4}$ bilingual teachers in the above areas.

## Method

Subjects. The population for this study consisted of elementary school teachers currently engaged in the teaching of Spanish language and reading in Ne:v York City bilingual programs. Elghty (80) bilingual elementary school teachers were selected from four sites in Mork city: " 1) A community School District in the Bronx, 2) Community School District in Queens, and 3) two universities-one In Manhattan and the other in the Bronx--presently preparing bilingual education teachers. Twenty teachers from each
site were randanly selected using a table of randon numbers (Tucknan, 1972, P. 200).

The criteria in selecting, the teachers who participated in the study were: (1) teachers were bilingual with fluency in English and Spanish; (2) they were licensed and currently working in elementary public schools in New York City, and (3) all had been exposed to the teaching of reading for at least two years in elementary public schools. Variables such as subjects' backgrounds and years of experience were also considered in the data collection effort.
Procedure. A questionnaire was adninistered by the investigator to the 80 teachers. The content of the questionnalre was subdivided as follows: (1) Spanish reading knowledge or proficiency (Spanish language, reading skills and methodology), and (2) training in reading. For the purpose of the study, reading knowledge or proficiency referred to the range of teacher's information or understanding while "training in reading" refers to undergo certain direct instruction throush workshopk, seminars, college courses or individual tutoring.

The area of Spanish language knowledge covered aspects related to the functional definition of language and its use, phonology and lexicon, morphology and syntax, especially those related to correctness. in speaking and writing. Specific questions focused on written spanish accént, syllabication, verb tenses, characteristic sounds of the Spanish language, and orderly sentence structure.

The area of reading skills entailed the content skills to be emphasized * in an elementary school reading program; word'recognition," comprehension,
analysis and evaluation. Itens susin as identification of skills by grades $\rightarrow$ and levels, appropriateness of stills according to reading content, were included.

The area of reading methodology addressed its characteristics, adequate strategies, and reading materials. Questions relating to the identification of Spanish reading approaches, rolationship between reading and - student background, methodology for the development of vocabulary, and specific content characteristics of Spanish reading materials made up this section of the questionnaire. Figurf l.illustrates the questionnaire structure and content:

| Spanish Language Knowledge | Philosophy and History <br> Phonology and Lexicon <br> Morphology and fyntax |
| :--- | :--- |
| Word Recognition <br> Comprehension <br> Evaluation and Analysis |  |
| Reading Methodology Skills $\quad$Approaches <br> Strategies <br> Reading Materials |  |

Figure \#1: The structure and content of the questionnaire
The survey lnstrument was designed as a composite of attitudinal scales and factual knowledge sub-tests. The attijudinal scales were used to obtain attitudinal judgements or perceptions about the Spanish language reading skills and methodology, and training received in these areas. The respondents Indicated their opinions or attitudes by making a mark on the scale. The factual information gathered through true and false (30 items) and multiple chpice (30) questions. Forty items were devoted to

3 elicit at itudes from the respondents; 60 items probed factual knowledge. $>$
A group of specialists in Spanish language, reading, and bilingual education research validated the content, types of questions, and level of difficulty of each item. In particular, two Spanish Reading specialists and two bilingual researchers were used in the centent validity of the study. The instrúment was also administeredr to a group of teachers in a pilot trial. These teachers gave the rescarcher written reactions to the questionnalre. Finally, a consultant, knowledgeable in the design and construction of similar questionnaires integrated all these recommenda-. tions in its.final copy.

The final version of the instrument was mailed to 20 randomly selected bilingual teachers at each. site. A self-addressed envelope was included. A period of three weeks was given to each, teacher to answer. and return the questionnaire. The researcher contacted a!l individuals who did not return the questionnaire and noted their reasons. The questionnaires were mailed at the beginning of November, 1978; by the last week of January, 1979, all questionnalres were returned to the investigator.
Results. The results of the data collection were as follows: the native language of the majority of the teachers was determined to be Spanish (82, 5\%); English was the native language of $16.3 \%$ of the sampla. Only $1.3 \%$ of the participants expressed another language other than Endish or Spanish as their native language, as is indicated in the following table:

Table 1

> Frequency Distribution of Notive Languáge of Participants

| Native Language |  | Number of Teachers | $\frac{\text { Mercent }}{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanish | 66. | 82.5 |  |
| English |  | 13 | 16.3 |
| Other | 1 | 1.3 |  |

Almost half of the total sample (33) or $41.3 \%$ indicated 1 to 3 years of experience in teaching reading in Spanish. The second largest. group (23) were those who had 4 or 5 years of experience. Only $11.3 \%$ (9) of the teachérs did not have any experience at all in the teaching of Spanish reading (see table 2 ).

Table 2
Years of Experience of Participares' in

- Teaching Spanish Reading


In the category of "proficiency of Spanish language" it was found that 29 teachers responded to $71 \%-75 \%^{\circ}$ codrect ansirfrs: However, it was also determined that very few teachers (4) scored 92 or higher. The mean for the group $(N=80)$ was 73 and the mode was 75 .

The results of the second category "knowledge of Spanish reading skills" were similar to those determined for category one. Thirty seven teachers responded to $67 \%$ - $73 \%$ of correct answers. Approximately $32 \%$ ( 26 teachers) of the total sample obtained less than $60 \%$ of the correct answers. It was also found that only 1 teacher scored higher thán $90 \%$. The mean score for responses in, this second category was 68 and the mode was 73. Results showed a slightly less knowledge of the skills than of the language.

The third category "knowledge of Spanish methodology" (approaches", strategies, reading materials) was the area in which teachers showed more difficultues or weaknesses. Results show that 31 teachers responded to 57\%-62\% of correct answers. Approximately $25 \%$ ( 22 teachers) scored less than $52 \%$ of the correct answers. Only one teacher stored $90 \%$ or. higher. The mean for teacher responsés In this category was 60 and the mode was 57. The following table represent a summary of the above analysis.
thirty four ( $34=42.5 \%$ ) were in total agreenent; eleven ( $11=13.8 \%$ ) were mostly in agreement; twelve ( $12=15.0$ ) were partly in agreenent; eleven ( $11=13.8 \%$ ) were mostly in disagreement; and twelve ( $12=15.0 \%$ ) were in total disagreement with this statement.

In response the statement that they had not received any training in Spanish reading methodology, twenty three (23-28\%) were in total agreement; fourteen ( 14 a 17.5\%) were mostly in agreement; fourteen were partly in agreement ( $14=17.5 \%$ ); ten ( $10=12.5 \%$ ) were mostly in disagreement; and nineteen ( $19=23.8 \%$ ) were in total disagrement with this statement.

In responfe to the statement that the school district has offered training in the teaching of Spanish reading, nineteen ( $19-23.8 \%$ ) were ". in total agrement; ten (10-12.5\%) were mostly in agremeat; ten (10 = 12.5\%) were partly in agreonent; ten ( 10 : $12.5 \%$ ) were mostly in disagreement and thirty one ( $31-38 \%$ ) were in total disagreement with this statement. Table 4 illustrates the kind of questigns asked and the responses given by the 80 teachers.


$\mathrm{N}=80=100 \%$$\quad$| Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree |$\quad$| Mostly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree |$\quad$| Partly |
| :--- |
| Disagree |$\quad$| Mostly |
| :--- |
| Disagree |$\quad$| Total |
| :--- |
| Disagreement |

The district
supervisor
offered training
or materials on
adequate use and selection of Spanish readers.

17
$(21.3 \%)$
9
$(11.3 \%)$
21
(26.3\%)
6.

27
(7.5\%)

Based on these results, these tentative conclusions can be made: bilingual teachers, scorey higher in the knowledge of Spanish language, that the second higher score was reading skills, and the lowest scores were in reading methodology.

## Discussion

The size of sample used in this study ( $N=80$ ) enabled the researcher in making some tentative conclusions. Analysis of the data presented on Objective One indicates the level of knowledge of bilingual teachers in Spanish language, reading skills and methodology. It can be concluded" that: (1) the subjects have a reasonable understanding of the Spanish language in terms of philosophy, history, phonology, lexicon, morphology and syntax and (2) they showed slightly less knowledge in Spanish reading skills. Teachers were able to partially identify skills such as word recognition, comprehension, evaluation and analysis and the use of skills by grades and levels. They were also able to determine the skills function is: relation to reading content. Subjects appeared to have minimum com-
perencies in Spanish inguage kno:lledge and Spanish reading skills, resriactiveiy. Efforts should be madz to enrich these basic competencies.

Results showed that subjects are not welleprepared in Spanish reading n:ethodology ( 60.357 ). The reading methodology mean was obviously not an acceptable one for bilingual teachers whe teach Spanish reading in the elementary grades every day. "Subjects did' not have a clear understanding of reading as a process and they can not distinguish among methods, eszerially when they are applied to Spanish. Subjects also need to improve their knowledge in identifying adequate reading materials. Based on these resilits, reading methodology is an important area for intensive in-service training.

Objective 险o dealt with training in Spanish reading. The prepara- . tion and training received by the teachers varied. However, the self-. report information, in general, indicates that the training received by teachers has been inadequate, and they have limited access to training .cscurces. Areas where respondents expressed training corfeerns were (1) their knowledge of the Spanish language has not been acquired through college courses, although most of them have taken courses in Spanish reading; (2) they have received limited training in Spanish reading methodology; (3) the school district has provided limited training in Spanish reading; (4) the school district has not provided enough reference materials in syanish reading; and (5) the supervisors have not provided enough training ill Upanish reading.

These recommendations, then are made as a result of the study:
(1) A city wide reading teacher copetency assessment program is resommended with a comprehensive sound training component. it is ljkely
to be found that there is a readi:g deficiercy in general among the school population, and that it is not only in the teaching of Spanish reading: -
(2) A list of well articulated competencies for bilingual Spanish reading teachers should be developed and field tested. State Education departments, local city board oducation and universities should be responsible for the development of these competencies. These competencies will serve as a guide for the hiring and employment of Spanish readins teachers.
(3) A Spanish Reading Task Force should be organized with the purpose of preparing a monograph on the development of dequate training programs, materials and activities for these bilingual teachers (e.g., courses to be taken, books to be studied, training modules that could be given in in-service training sessions).
(4) Institutions of higher education should revise their offerings in terms of courses and content. (It appears that emphasis must be given ${ }^{\ell}$ to Spanish reading, especially in the area of methodology, and that more reference literature on Spanish reading should be provided to bilingual teachers.
(5). School district supervisory styff members must provide more emphasis to Spanish reading. More classroom observations and follow-up. supervisory conferences are needed. Workshops an Spanish reading should be planned and carried out in a formal and systenatic way.

These recommendations are only a few of the mast wportant ones that can be made and if implemented, will fulfill the visionary policy of the local city boards of education pertaining to bilingual education and equal opportunity of education.
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